FY 2004/2005 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET DATE: February 2003 Exhibit R-2

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 6 PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0605865N

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: Operational Test and Evaluation Capability

COST: (Dollars in Thousands)

PROJECT NUMBER/ TITLE	FY 2002 ACTUAL	FY 2003 ESTIMATE	FY 2004 ESTIMATE	FY 2005 ESTIMATE	FY 2006 ESTIMATE	FY 2007 ESTIMATE	FY 2008 ESTIMATE	FY 2009 ESTIMATE
R0831 OPERATIONAL TEST	AND EVALUAT	CION FORCE SU	JPPORT 11,608	12,541	12 , 752	12,929	13,141	13,350
R2923 NAVY JT&E SUPPORT	,	11,007	11,000	12,541	12,732	12, 323	13,141	13,330
KZ9Z3 MAVI OIWE SOFFORI	356	488	486	486	489	488	497	506
Total	11,473	11,555	12,094	13,027	13,241	13,417	13,638	13,856

A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: This program element provides Commander, Operational Test and Evaluation Force (COMOPTEVFOR) general support funding. This funding ensures COMOPTEVFOR's compliance with requirements established by Title 10 Law and directives from the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Navy to carry out independent operational testing and evaluation. This funding is used for planning, conducting, and reporting the results of operational tests and evaluations of weapon systems projects, and for tactics validation for the use of those systems. Funding is also provided for Navy support of the OSD-sponsored Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E) program.

B. PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY:

	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
2003 President's Budget Submission:	11,546	12,642	13,609	15,049
Adjustments from FY 2003 President's Budget:				
NWCF Rate Adjustments			-1	-1
SBIR Assessment	-13			
Cong.Recissions/Adjustments/Undist.Reductions	-60	-140		
PayRaise/Inflation Adjustments		-137	-78	-519
Reduction of COMOPTEVFOR Infra			-634	-656
CSRS and FEHB		-810	-802	-846
2004/2005 President's Budget Submission:	11,473	11,555	12,094	13,027

R-1 Line Item 160
Page 1 of 6

FY 2004/2005 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET DATE: February 2003 Exhibit R-2

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 6 PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0605865N

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: Operational Test and Evaluation Capability

PROGRAM CHANGE SUMMARY EXPLANATION:

Schedule: Not applicable Technical: Not applicable

R-1 Line Item 160 Page 2 of 6

FY 2004/2005 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET DATE: February 2003 Exhibit R-2a

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 6 PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0605865N PROJECT NUMBER: R0831

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: Operational Test and

PROJECT TITLE: OPTEVFOR Support

Evaluation Capability

COST: (Dollars in Thousands)

PROJECT FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2006 FY 2007 FY 2008 FY 2009 NUMBER/ ACTUAL ESTIMATE ESTIMATE ESTIMATE ESTIMATE ESTIMATE ESTIMATE ESTIMATE TITLE

R0831 Operational Test & Evaluation Force Support

> 11,117 11,067 11,608 12,541 12,752 12,929 13,141 13,350

A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: This project provides Commander, Operational Test and Evaluation Force (COMOPTEVFOR) general support funding. This funding ensures COMOPTEVFOR's compliance with requirements established by Title 10 Law and directives from the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Navy to carry out independent operational testing and evaluation. This funding is used for planning, conducting, and reporting the results of operational tests and evaluations of weapon systems projects, and for tactics validation for the use of those systems.

B. ACCOMPLISHMENTS/PLANNED PROGRAM:

	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05
OPTEVFOR Support	11,117	11,067	11,608	12,541

FY 2002 ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

- Operationally tested and evaluated Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) projects commensurate with authorized funding level.
- Maintained level of effort associated with the DoD 5000-acquisition guidance, which required increased COMOPTEVFOR involvement in early operational assessments, developmental testing, advanced concept technology demonstrations, and advanced technology demonstrations.

FY 2003 PLANS:

- Operationally test and evaluate CNO projects commensurate with authorized funding level.
- Maintain level of effort associated with the DoD 5000-acquisition quidance, which requires increased COMOPTEVFOR involvement in early operational assessments, developmental testing, advanced concept technology demonstrations, and advanced technology demonstrations.

FY 2004 PLANS:

• Continue to operationally test and evaluate CNO projects commensurate with authorized funding level.

R-1 Line Item 160 Page 3 of 6

FY 2004/2005 RDT&E, N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET DATE: February 2003 Exhibit R-2a

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 6 PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0605865N PROJECT NUMBER: R0831

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: Operational Test and PROJECT TITLE: OPTEVFOR Support

Evaluation Capability

• Continue to maintain level of effort associated with the DoD 5000-acquisition guidance, which requires increased COMOPTEVFOR involvement in early operational assessments, developmental testing, advanced concept technology demonstrations, and advanced technology demonstrations.

FY 2005 PLANS:

- Continue to operationally test and evaluate CNO projects commensurate with authorized funding level.
- Continue to maintain level of effort associated with the DoD 5000-acquisition guidance, which requires increased COMOPTEVFOR involvement in early operational assessments, developmental testing, advanced concept technology demonstrations, and advanced technology demonstrations.
- C. OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY: Not applicable.
- D. ACQUISITION STRATEGY: Not applicable.

R-1 Line Item 160 Page 4 of 6

FY 2004/2005 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET DATE: February 2003 Exhibit R-2a

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 6 PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0605865N

PROJECT NUMBER: R2923

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: Operational Test and

PROJECT TITLE: Navy JT&E Support

Operational rest and

Evaluation Capability

COST: (Dollars in Thousands)

PROJECT FY 2002 FY 2003 FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2006 FY 2007 FY 2008 FY 2009 NUMBER/ ACTUAL ESTIMATE ESTIMATE ESTIMATE ESTIMATE ESTIMATE ESTIMATE ESTIMATE TITLE

R2923 Navy Joint Test and Evaluation Support

356 488 486 486 489 488 497 506

A. MISSION DESCRIPTION AND BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION: This project provides funding for Navy support of the OSD-sponsored Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E) program. This funding covers cost associated with facilities, personnel and administrative support for T&E-focused Navy-led Joint Feasibility Studies and Joint Tests to ensure Navy compliance with requirements established by directive from the Secretary of Defense to carry out the JT&E program. The funding is used for planning, conducting, and reporting of the results of T&E-focused JT&E projects to assess the interoperability of recommendations on improvements in joint technical and operational concepts, to evaluate and validate testing methodologies having multi-service application, to assess technical or operational performance of interrelated and/or interacting systems under realistic joint operational conditions, and to provide data from joint field tests and exercises with which to validate models, simulations, and test beds. This funding is essential for Navy participation with the other services in this critical joint areas.

B. ACCOMPLISHMENTS/PLANNED PROGRAM:

	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05
Joint Test & Evaluation	356	488	486	486

FY 2002 ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

• Supported JT&E projects as directed by the JT&E Senior Advisory Council such as the Joint Shipboard Helicopter Integration Process (JSHIP) and Joint Methodology to Assess Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (C4ISR) Architectures (JMACA) Joint Test Forces.

FY 2003 PLANS:

• Support JT&E projects as directed by the JT&E Senior Advisory Council.

FY 2004 PLANS:

• Continue to support JT&E projects as directed by the JT&E Senior Advisory Council.

R-1 Line Item 160 Page 5 of 6

FY 2004/2005 RDT&E,N BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET DATE: February 2003 Exhibit R-2a

BUDGET ACTIVITY: 6 PROGRAM ELEMENT: 0605865N PROJECT NUMBER: R2923

PROGRAM ELEMENT TITLE: Operational Test and PROJECT TITLE: Navy JT&E Support

Evaluation Capability

FY 2005 PLANS:

• Continue to support JT&E projects as directed by the JT&E Senior Advisory Council.

C. OTHER PROGRAM FUNDING SUMMARY: Not applicable.

D. ACQUISITION STRATEGY: Not applicable.

R-1 Line Item 160
Page 6 of 6